

## APPENDIX C SAFEGUARDING

### Context

This report combines adult and children's safeguarding data and analysis and provides an overview of safeguarding activity in Quarter 4 of 2015/16. It aims to highlight good practice and identify areas for development/improvement which will be incorporated into delivery plans for the relevant service areas. The children's data (except for the re-referral information) is shared with partners as required by the Local Safeguarding Children's Board (LSCB) performance scorecard.

### CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE UPDATE

#### Contact referral and assessment

- There was a 5% increase in contacts this quarter (212 as opposed to 202 in Quarter 3). Of those contacts, 47% (100) went on to referral compared to 45% (91) last quarter.
- 72% of all single assessments closed during Quarter 4 were closed within timescales (45 days)
- There were 51 section 47 enquiries during Quarter 4.

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total/ Cumulative	Reporting Frequency
Number of contacts to Children's Social Care (include referrals)	255	234	202	212	<b>903</b>	Quarterly
Number of referrals to Children's Social Care	100	78	91	100	<b>369</b>	Quarterly
Number of referrals made by EDT/Out of Hours Team (including those that were recorded as contacts only)	20	3	0	0	<b>23</b>	Quarterly
Number of single assessments started during Quarter	84	74	54	100	<b>312</b>	

No. of single assessments closed, and % closed within 45 days	82	78	65	90	<b>315</b>	Quarterly
	51%	64%	82%	72%	<b>66%</b>	
Number of S47 enquiries	28	17	53	51	<b>149</b>	Quarterly

## Child Protection

- There were 29 child protection plans at 31<sup>st</sup> March 2016. This is a 14% decrease on Quarter 3.
- The largest category of abuse for CP plans at end of March 2016 was emotional, which represented 45% of all plans.
- Of the children with a CP plan for 3 months or more at 31<sup>st</sup> March 2016, 100% had been reviewed within timescales (PI 67).

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Cumulative	Reporting Frequency
Number of children subject to a CP Plan	33	26	34	29	n/a	Quarterly
<b>Number/Rate in each Category of Abuse</b>						
Neglect	5	7	12	11	n/a	Quarterly
Physical	0	0	0	0	n/a	
Emotional	17	14	19	13	n/a	
Sexual	1	1	1	1	n/a	
Multiple*	9	4	2	4	n/a	
<b>*Breakdown of Multiple:</b>						
Phys/Neglect/Emotional	1	1	0	0	n/a	Quarterly
Phys/Sexual	1	0	0	0	n/a	
Phys/Emotional	7	3	2	3	n/a	
Sexual/Emotional	0	0	0	1	n/a	
Unborn	0	0	0	0	n/a	Quarterly
0 - 4	15	8	14	7	n/a	
5 - 9	7	6	12	8	n/a	
10 - 15	9	8	6	12	n/a	
16+	2	4	2	2	n/a	

Male	17	14	17	13	n/a	Quarterly
Female	16	12	17	15	n/a	
Unborn	0	0	0	1	n/a	
Percentage of CP cases which were reviewed within required timescales	100%	100%	100%	100%	<b>100%</b>	Quarterly Target - 100%
Number of CP cases allocated to a Social Worker	100%	100%	100%	100%	<b>100%</b>	Target - 100%

### Looked After Children

<b>Rutland</b>	<b>Q1</b>	<b>Q2</b>	<b>Q3</b>	<b>Q4</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>	<b>Reporting Frequency</b>
Number of Looked After Children	34	31	34	39	n/a	Quarterly
<b>Ethnicity of LAC</b>						
White	32	29	31	36	n/a	Quarterly
Mixed	2	2	3	2	n/a	
Asian					n/a	
Black					n/a	
Other				1	n/a	
Undetermined					n/a	
0 - 4	9	7	10	10	n/a	
5 - 9	8	6	7	9	n/a	
10 - 15	10	11	11	14	n/a	
16+	7	7	6	6	n/a	
Male	18	18	18	22	n/a	
Female	16	13	16	17	n/a	
Percentage of LAC at period end with 3 or more placements	0%	0%	0%	2.6%	<b>2.6%</b>	
LAC cases which were reviewed within required timescales			100%		<b>100%</b>	
Stability of placements of LAC: length of placement					<b>77%</b>	

### ADULTS UPDATE

#### Safeguarding Adults Data Collection

60 alerts/enquiries were received in Q4. This represents a decrease from Q3. A breakdown into alerts/enquiries per month sees an average of 20 alerts/enquiries per month with no indications that there are any significant issues for this decrease.

Alerts/enquiries from the community remain on a par with residential sources and provide assurance that the promotion of safeguarding awareness in the community remains active.

8 of this number resulted in alerts meeting the threshold for the formal implementation of the Safeguarding Adults Procedures.

Location of alleged abuse	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Reporting Frequency
Community	34	45	47	29	<b>155</b>	Quarterly
Residential	24	34	53	31	<b>142</b>	Quarterly
Unknown	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>	Quarterly
Source of Referral for all Alerts	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	
Primary Health Care	2	0	3	2	<b>7</b>	
Secondary Health Care	4	7	3	0	<b>14</b>	
Adult Mental Health Setting	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>	
Residential	13	23	40	17	<b>93</b>	
Day Care	1	0	2	1	<b>4</b>	
Social Worker/Care Manager	12	22	23	9	<b>66</b>	
Self-Directed Care Staff	0	0	0	1	<b>1</b>	
Domiciliary	4	4	10	7	<b>25</b>	
Other Care Workers	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>	
Self	0	1	0	1	<b>2</b>	
Family Member	8	0	2	3	<b>13</b>	
Other Service User	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>	
Friend/Neighbour	0	8	0	1	<b>9</b>	
Care Quality Commission	2	0	1	0	<b>3</b>	
Housing	3	3	4	8	<b>20</b>	
Education	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>	
Police	2	4	0	1	<b>7</b>	
Other	2 - EMAS 1 - EDT	Other local authority – 2 3- EMAS 1 – Community Agent 1 - EDT	Other local authority – 1 Community Agent – 1 EMAS – 3 Voluntary agency – 6	Other local authority – 1 CHC– 1 Arriva patient transport - 1 EMAS – 4 Voluntary agency – 1	<b>29</b>	
Not Known				Anonymous -1	<b>1</b>	

## Closed Cases in Quarter 4

Safeguarding Adults performance data is obtained when a case is closed at the end of the Safeguarding Adults process. 15 cases were closed in Quarter 4.

One of the cases closed is on a domiciliary care provider which explains why there is no age recording for one case and the service user group is recorded as not known.

Older people have been consistently the largest service user group represented in safeguarding within adult social care services and in this quarter there were 3 investigations closed where the service users had a learning disability.

One of the cases involves a learning disability residential provider.

The Senior Practitioner and a social worker from the Long term and Review team worked in partnership with this Provider's service manager and the outcomes were extremely positive for the residents. Staff members within the service were replaced thus reducing the risk of abusive institutional practices remaining prevalent.

The Prevention and Safeguarding Team have been focussing on Making Safeguarding Personal. MSP aims to facilitate a shift in emphasis in safeguarding from undertaking a process to a commitment to improving outcomes alongside people experiencing abuse or neglect.

The key focus is on developing a real understanding of what people wish to achieve, agreeing, negotiating and recording their outcomes. It is anticipated that when Liquid Logic is live the adult safeguarding module will support the principles of MSP.

Outcome	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total
Substantiated - fully	3	4	3	7	17
Substantiated - partially	0	0	0	2	2
Not Substantiated	3	4	5	4	16
Inconclusive	2	1	0	2	5

Primary Client Type	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total
Older Person	3	5	7	10	25
Mental Health	0	1	0	1	2
Learning Disability	4	3	2	3	12
Physical Disability	0	0	0	0	0
Not recorded	1	0	0	1	2
Primary Age Group	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total
18-64	4	2	2	4	12
65-74	1	2	2	1	6
75-84	1	2	3	6	12
85-94	2	3	2	3	10
95+	0	0	0	0	0

Type of Abuse*	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total
Physical	2	1	3	1	7

Sexual	0	1	0	0	1
Psychological&Emotional	4	0	0	1	5
Financial & Material	0	3	1	0	4
Neglect & Acts of Omission	2	4	5	10	21
Discriminatory	0	0	0	0	0
Institutional	0	0	1	3	4
Not Known	0	0	0	0	0

\*Cases may include more than one category

Source of Referral	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total
Primary Health Care	0	0	1	0	1
Secondary Health Care	1	1	0	1	3
Adult Mental Health Setting	0	0	0	0	0
Residential	4	0	1	1	6
Day Care	0	0	0	1	1
Social Worker/Care Manager	1	2	6	4	13
Self-Directed Care Staff	0	0	0	1	1
Domiciliary	0	3	1	0	4
Other Care Workers	0	0	0	0	0
Self	0	0	0	1	1
Family Member	1	1	0	2	4
Other Service User	0	0	0	0	0
Friend/Neighbour	0	0	0	0	0
Care Quality Commission	0	0	0	0	0
Housing	0	1	1	0	2
Education	0	0	0	0	0
Police	1	1	0	1	3
Other	0	0	0	3	3
Not Known	0	0	0	0	0

Protection Plans	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total
Adult Protection Plans accepted by either the service user or the agencies involved	0	0	2	2	4
Adult Protection Plans not accepted	0	0	0	0	0
Could not consent	0	0	0	0	0

<b>Repeat Referrals</b>	<b>Q1</b>	<b>Q2</b>	<b>Q3</b>	<b>Q4</b>	<b>Total</b>
No of Repeat Referrals	5	2	1	0	8